**CASE STUDY** 

# Wilam Ngarrang, Fitzroy / Ngar-go, Victoria



LOCATION: Fitzroy / Ngar-go, (Melbourne) VIC

COUNTRY: Wurundjeri People of Kulin Nation

**PROJECT DATA:** Site area 554 m<sup>2</sup> Floor space ratio 1:2 15 apartments 3 storeys 0 carparking spaces 17 bicycle parking spaces

# A retrofit of an existing building that shows how you can achieve affordable housing, good sustainability outcomes and deliver comfort and delight

### QUICK FACTS

### **APARTMENT BUILDING TYPE:** Mid-rise residential apartment

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA: Yarra City Council

PROJECT COST: \$2.2 million

CLIENT: Tripple

### PROJECT TEAM:

ARCHITECTURE Kennedy Nolan BUILDER Wilderness Building Co ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY Finding Infinity PROJECT MANAGER **Resin Property** PLANNING CONSULTANT Urbis

**PROCUREMENT:** Early contractor involvement

YEAR: Completed 2023



# Wilam Ngarrang is an 'energy plus' retrofit that sets new benchmarks in sustainability, represents highquality design and makes use of every dollar spent

The project is a significant refurbishment of a 1970s, 3-storey walk-up apartment building situated on Wurundieri land in the heart of Ngargo / Fitzroy, Naarm / Melbourne. The site is near Fitzroy's high street with shops, tram services, bicycle pathways and generous green parks in short walking distance.

This project shows that refurbishment can deliver buildings with no negative environmental impact. reduce building operational costs for residents, and be profitable on a small budget of \$150,000 per apartment, or \$3,000 per m<sup>2</sup> inclusive of external upgrades.

Consultation with the Wurundjeri council and their Elders was undertaken with a recommendation to use Woi Wurrung language, and so the building was named 'Wilam Ngarrang' (place to reflect).

### Collaboration

The project is by Tripple, a developer interested in rental models that demonstrate sustainability and innovation. It delivers 15 studio apartments and sets aside 2 units (13%) for affordable belowmarket rent. This is managed through a partnership with not-for-profit Homeground Real Estate who return profits to community housing provider (CHP) Bridge Housing in an effort to end homelessness.

The project team included environmental sustainability consultant Finding Infinity. architects Kennedy Nolan and builder Wilderness Building Co. The group were engaged through an Early Contractor Involvement procurement model which supported collaboration from the beginning stages of the project, aligning sustainability and design objectives and helping to manage cost risk.

# A prototype for retrofitting

The vision for the project was to develop a retrofit model for low environmental impact which is comfortable, reduces operating costs for residents and is financially viable. Throughout the project process the group saw the opportunity to create a development with attributes and features that could be repeated by others, enabling a greater influence on carbon emissions reduction.

Finding Infinity estimate that emissions could be reduced by more than 40% in cities if the 3 steps listed below were applied at scale:

### **Three-step method (Finding Infinity)**

- 1. Electrification of buildings
- 2. Energy efficiency upgrades, including building fabric and efficient equipment
- 3. Providing solar panels on buildings



Flooring Cork flooring is durable, cost effective and made from recycled materials. It adds warmth to the unit and prevents noise transfer between units.

Joinery The laminate has a chipboard substrate which can be recycled later. Medium-density fibreboard (MDF) has been avoided throughout as it can't be recycled.





Common table A communal courtyard has a salvaged marble table that connects the laundry and exterior as a central gathering space.

### Windows

Existing windows were replaced with double-glazed UPVC windows which were installed into the masonry with airtight detailing.





### Hallway

Smaller elements like stair handrails are retained, while the flooring in common areas was upgraded to durable wool to ensure longevity.





Existing conditions The building is double brick, typical of 1960s and 1970s Australian apartment buildings.

**Retrofitting by priority** Refurbishing the kitchens and bathrooms was a high social priority.

# Tripple's brief was to create spaces that are equal parts environmental and beautiful through minimum intervention

The retrofit had a fixed budget of \$2.2 million dollars, which included 15 apartments, common areas and complex; items were prioritised to suit the set cost.

The process started with Tripple and the team's ideas being presented as a priority 'shopping list'. Items were categorised as 'have to do', which included essential building upgrades like replacing roofs, windows and making structural repairs, and then expanded to 'environmental' and 'social' benefit items. The items were tested against Finding Infinity's initial cost figures, and cross-checked at early building and site inspections with Wilderness Building Co and Kennedy Nolan in both preliminary and detailed design stages.

In later construction stages, this collaborative philosophy continued with swaps-outs of materials and repurposing of site material, adapting to best suit the team's vision. The items were arranged with key targets to achieve: — net-positive energy

- approaching zero waste operations
- approaching zero waste construction
- minimised carbon in construction
- minimised grid water.

## Balancing sustainability with liveability

Making the building beautiful and a place that people would love to live was a priority.

One of the key moves to achieve this was to replace the large areas of carparking and concrete surfaces with permeable landscaping and dedicate it as communal space. This connects shared areas like laundry rooms, functional bicycle parking, edible gardens and entertaining areas, creating a variety of spaces that engender a sense of liveability and wellbeing. Importantly, these external areas add generosity of space for residents that live in the city.

The 30 m<sup>2</sup> apartments are small yet configured with a central compact joinery unit that supports an open-plan and efficient kitchen arrangement. Extra effort was put into establishing a welcoming place through warm red joinery finishes, durable wool carpets and using frames made from recycled Victorian ash frames for the large windows that fill the space with natural light.

To save on cost, all services remain in place in the interior. Smaller details like hallway handrails and internal door frames have been retained, while timber has been re-used for joinery handles and bathroom cabinets. Window reveals and cabinets were sourced from a supplier that gains materials from demolition sites, while the remaining timber was recycled from on-site sources to avoid the use of new and unnecessary materials.

### Collaborating on the shopping list

The client, builder, architect and environmental consultant were all involved in the design and decision-making process. The priority list below shows items considered during the design process and what was implemented. It is important to note that these figures are reflective of; construction costs at the time of the project. The success of the project can be attributed to the builder's early involvement and good collaboration within the project team.

### **Priority list**

### Initiative / Item Concrete repair / structural upgrade Upgrade individual kitchens Upgrade bathrooms Window upgrade Solar PV system Interior upgrades corridors / communal (painting) Exhaust upgrade Upgrade roof Communal laundry upgrade Heating & cooling (VRV) Electric panel wall heaters Services upgrades (storm w / sewer, fire protection) Electrical upgrades (install, switches) Internal wall render (airtight) Internal joinery Entry upgrade, intercom, letterbox, back & front face Communal compost Replace / remove carpets in common areas Apartment dwellings new flooring (cork) Carparking - remove or reconfigure / com. landscape Other communal spaces in current laundries Landscape - new general paving & planting Communal productive gardens Bike parking Internal insulation Airtightness Efficient showers and taps Energy monitoring system Internet upgrade (NBN) Rainwater collection & storage Heat recovery ventilation (HRV) Integrated furniture / fit-out Storage cages Domestic hot water upgrade (heat pump) Heating (hydronic) External insulation / cladding\* Shading Solar pergola in rear courtyard Electric car share New communal kitchen(s) Balconies Full accessibility Extend building - towards street, east, west or rear\* Make the building fully accessible

Category	Priority	Cost	Check
Have to do (HTD)	High	\$49,000	yes
Social (SOC)	High	\$160,000	yes
Social	High	\$90,000	yes
Environmental / HTD	High	\$96,000	yes
Environmental	High	\$44,000	yes
Social	High	\$43,000	yes
Environmental	High	Used HRV instead	no
Other	High	\$60,000	yes
Social	High	\$33,000	yes
Environmental / SOC	High	\$150,000	yes
Have to do	High	Used VRV instead	no
Have to do	High	\$79,000	yes
Have to do	High	\$150,000	yes
Environmental / SOC	High	\$26,000	yes
Social	High	\$140,000	yes
Environmental	Medium	\$48,000	yes
Social	Medium	\$450-\$3,000	yes
Social	Medium	\$4,900	yes
Social	Medium	\$54,000	yes
Social	Medium	\$4,700	yes
Social	Medium	\$5,000	yes
Social	Medium	\$50,000	yes
Social	Medium	'incl in landscape'	yes
Environmental / SOC	Medium	\$2,300	yes
Environmental	Medium	\$24,000	yes
Environmental	Medium	\$77,000	yes
Environmental	Medium	within budget	yes
Environmental	Medium	\$700	yes
Social	Medium	\$5,000	yes
Environmental	Medium	\$7,300	yes
Environmental	Medium	\$45,000	yes
Social	Low	N/A	no
Social	Low	N/A	no
Environmental	Low	\$21,500	yes
Environmental / SOC	Low	Used VRV instead	no
Environmental	Low	\$160,000	no
Environmental	Low	\$60,000	no
Environmental / SOC	Low	N/A	no
Environmental / SOC	Low	N/A	no
Social	Low	N/A	no
Social	Low	N/A	no
Social	Low	N/A	no
Other	Low	N/A	no
Other	Low	N/A	no

# **Sustainability**

**Initiatives implemented** to transform the original apartment building into a net-positive retrofit



Passive design reduces energy consumption by 70%. When this is combined with rooftop solar panels the building produces more energy than it uses

**QUICK FACTS** Energy Efficiency is achieved by reducing the need for heating and cooling, improving the efficiency of the domestic hot water system and a 33 kW solar panel system on the roof.

Water Two rainwater tanks were installed and water efficiency of fittings and fixtures reduced the building's water consumption. The removal of 80% concrete increased surface permeability and on-site stormwater retention.

Waste Material re-use enabled 84% of typical construction waste to be avoided. A composting bin for organic food waste reduces waste sent to landfill by 60%.

### 1st Plus Energy Retrofit in Australia









### Existing brick retained The primary building structure and dominant material is retained.

Permeable landscaping 100% of the existing concrete carpark was recycled and replaced with porous landscaping for stormwater run-off.





### Better building performance and comfort

The sustainability items reduce energy but they also contribute to wellbeing and make it a great place for residents to live in. The installation of double-glazed windows and doors, new wall insulation and an interior that is finished with an airtight render increase thermal comfort levels and reduce apartment noise.

'The ESD components make it thermally comfortable, it is one of its strengths. This is hard to capture in photographs but it makes an enormous difference to the people living there.'

- Kennedy Nolan

The heat recovery unit contributes to a healthy environment and removes toxins from the air. Operable windows with a safety balustrade also give residents the option to open up the interior for access to natural ventilation.

### Material strategy

A goal was set for minimising 90% of construction waste and the result was close to this: 84%. This was done by using a method to retain, repurpose and recycle materials. During demolition Wilderness Building Co stored materials onsite to sort what could be repurposed, and what would need to be recycled off-site. All aluminium, glass, metal, plaster, timber and concrete waste that couldn't be salvaged was separated and sent off site for recycling.

### Initiatives to reduce construction waste

- the existing double-brick structure was retained
- hallway handrail and stair balustrades were retained
- existing roof sheeting was repurposed as site fencing
- former kitchen splashback tiles used in laundry
- timber was re-used for window reveals, joinery handles, strapping, bathroom cabinet and threshold sills
- bricks and pavers were recycled for landscaping
- 100% of the concrete carpark was recycled.

Where new materials were required, Kennedy Nolan specified either low carbon, sequestered carbon or items that were already headed for landfill. Examples of this include:

- cork internal floor finish (recycled material)
- bathroom tiles (sourced from discontinued lines)
- fittings and fixtures (energy and water efficient)
- off-site salvaged marble communal table.

### Value for money

Balancing cost was done by considering the environmental impact, delivery budget and the return on investment. The major decision to retrofit rather than rebuild resulted in an 82% carbon emissions reduction. This compared building life-cycle emissions from extraction, manufacturing, construction through to disposal.

The largest costs were the new kitchens, the variable refrigerant volume (VRV) heating and cooling, the electrical upgrades (install, switches) and the joinery, which combined cost around \$600,000. At \$150,000 the VRV system was originally not thought feasible. Ultimately savings were found and it was included which enhanced the heat recovery ventilation (HRV) system and improved heating and cooling to each apartment through winter and summer reducing energy use by 8%.

Low-cost items that were easier to implement included the internal wall insulation, rainwater tanks, communal compost and the solar PV system at an affordable combined total of \$78,000. Out of these items the solar investment is the biggest win. It has an estimated 3-year payback period and is the largest contributor to the buildings >8% electricity surplus. Overall the electrification and solar initiatives are estimated to save residents 80% on energy bills, while the investment in these items will, on average, pay itself in 7–10 years.



Laundry wall tiles The checkerboard red and yellow tiles are all recycled, taken from the splashbacks in the old kitchens. Bathroom wall tiles Tiles were specified from a discontinued line that were headed for landfill.



'Retrofitting buildings is the most costeffective way to make major cuts in energy use and greenhouse gas emissions'

-Finding Infinity



- 1. Double-glazed windows, with recycled timber frames
- 2. Repurposed roof sheeting as fencing
- 3. Airtight internal wall and ceiling render
- 4. Laminate joinery kitchen excludes MDF