# Western Sydney Aerotropolis

## **Community Consultative Committee**

## Meeting no: 22

## Date: 6 August 2024

#### Venue: Zoom

-
Government representatives
Isabel Virgona, Acting Director State Rezoning, Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI) (IV)
Carolyn Scott, Acting Manager, State Rezoning, DPHI (CS) Leanne Laughton, Executive Manager, Environment
and Sustainability, WSA Co (LL) Simon Cousins, Senior Manager Partnerships and Engagement, Transport for NSW (SC)
Peter Gresser, Senior Manager Engagement, Sydney Metro (PG)
Fernando Ortego, Western Sydney – Commercial Partnerships Manager, Sydney Water (FO)
Vanessa Nieuwenhuis, Manager, Communications and Engagement, Bradfield City Authority (WPCA) (VN) Christine Gough, City Planning Manager, Penrith City Council (CG)
Mark Hannan, Manager City Planning, Liverpool City Council (MH)
Donna Lantavos, Program Director, DPHI (DL)
David Hill, Senior Engagement Officer, WSA Co (DH) Jane Grose, Director, Employment Land Delivery Program, DPHI (JG) Jack Johnstone, Development Project manager, Sydney Water (JJ) Sasha Kovacina, TfNSW (SK)

#### Apologies

Gabriella Condello, community member

Ross Murphy, community member

Helen Anderson, community member

Justine Kinch, Western Parkland City Director, Transport for NSW

Dan Riley, Manager Development Engineering, Liverpool City Council

Katy Hannouch, Manager Community Engagement Airport Construction, WSA Co

Item	Description	Action
1	Welcome, introductions and Acknowledgement of Country - RR	
	RR introduces herself and invites attendees to make their own introductions.	
	All regular members make their introductions.	
	All first-time attendees make their introductions.	
2	Actions from last meeting – RR and KR	
	KR provides a status update on the actions. She says thatAction 3 and Action 6 on the register are completed, and the others are explained below.Action 1 SV and KR have spoken offline about the Office of the Valuer General following up with her. There has been some follow 	
	<u>Action 2</u> The Office of the Valuer General has received a small number of enquiries and have concluded that they do not require a dedicated team for assisting enquiries relating to the Aerotropolis. RR and KR are following this through and will provide updates as they become available.	KR and RR to continue to follow up with the Valuer General about the potential of a dedicated Aerotropolis customer support team.

ltem	Description	Action
	Action 4	
	SC says that the state and federal budgets have collectively	
	allocated \$800 million to the Elizabeth Drive upgrade. SK will	
	discuss the safety improvements involved in this new	
	funded work later in the meeting.	
	SC says that \$500 million has been allocated from the state budget for the Mamre Road upgrade, which, combined with \$500 million from the Australian Government, will provide \$1 billion.	
	<u>Action 5</u> SC says that they are currently consulting with community	
	on the planned bus services/routes. <u>This link</u> is available for community members to provide their individual feedback.	
	SC notes that in the last NSW government budget, \$24.7 million was provided for bus services in Western Sydney.	
3	Briefing: Western Sydney Airport local water standards - LL	
-	LL presents on the local standards of water at WSA. She	
	explains that this process is highly technical, and her	
	presentation provides a high-level overview of their project	
	and process.	
	SA asks why the Minister would not approve the planning.	
	LL explains that there are several requirements involved. If	
	the Minister does not feel that these legal requirements are	
	met adequately by the proposal/plan, he will reject the plan.	
4	Briefing: Sydney Water - Regional stormwater in the	
-	Aerotropolis	
	FO and JJ make a joint presentation on the regional	
	stormwater in the Aerotropolis. The presentation is attached.	
	JH notes that Sydney Water does not have responsibility for flood management - that is the responsibility of Council.	
	FO confirms this. He says that they have adopted the 2020	
	flood modeling. At this stage they are not using the	
	Liverpool flood model, although they have studied/are	

Item	Description	Action
	aware of it. They are working with the Liverpool Council to	
	ensure that there is alignment between their plan and the	
	perspective of the Liverpool Council, whose flood study was	
	the basis of the rezoning.	
	FO says that most planning adopts the NSW planning model,	
	and where it is relevant they collaborate with/double check	
	with Liverpool Council to ensure they are on the same page.	
	JH asks if this project will improve water systems in the area.	
	FO says that the goal of Sydney Water is to improve	
	waterway health, and that the new city has good water	
	flows and flood mitigation.	
	IC omphasises that surrantly the amount of water flowing	
	JG emphasises that currently, the amount of water flowing back into the creeks and soil is at a very low level. The work	
	of Sydney Water is in mitigating the impacts of the future	
	development so that the creeks are not ruined as a result of	
	development. Put simply, the project is intended to ensure	
	that the waterway health is not worsened through	
	development.	
	RR clarifies for the group that the work by Sydney Water	
	cannot make the water quality worse, but can only mitigate	
	and improve the situation.	
	FO explains that the modelling is an ongoing process of	
	refinement.	
	FO explains that the iNSW flood model, which was used as a	
	planning control for the Aerotropolis, has been used as a	
	base by Sydney Water. Thoughout the design process, they	
	identify any differences between this model data and that of	
	the Liverpool flood study.	
	PB says that the study only covered a tiny section of the	
	agribusiness precinct. He sees the rest of it as 'guesswork'. It	
	is his perspective that Sydney Water is creating a plan that is	
	based on information that is largely inaccurate. The draft	
	scheme plan is based on work that was not thoroughly	
	done, in the first place.	MH and FO to confer
		offline to provide the
	RR suggests that Liverpool Council (MH) and Sydney Water	group with some more
	(FO) confer offline to provide more clarity on the data that	clarity on the data that
	was used for this planning.	was used for Sydney

's regional vater planning.
vater planning.

Item	Description	Action
	SA asks if a percentage of these contributions will go towards the acquisition of open space?	
	CG says that there is a percentage of allocated funds for acquisition of open space. 5-6% account for this.	
	Currently there is no money in the plan, as it has just been adopted.	
	CG also explains that if DAs come in where a landowner has land to be acquired, the Council may enter into a voluntary planning agreement with them to offset their contribution rates.	
	There are also hardship provisions available.	
	MH says that at Liverpool Council, circumstances are largely the same, except that rather than 5.6%, at Liverpool Council the rate is 4.6%.	
	RR asks what the life of the plan is.	
	MH says that it has a long life, and will have reviews every 5 years.	
	• Transport for NSW – SK	
	SK presents on the TfNSW Safety Initiatives for Elizabeth Drive. This presentation is attached.	
	RR asks what the timing is for increasing the height of the road.	
	SK says that this height increase will be part of the same timing as the rest of the project.	
	SK thanks the group for their consistent efforts for the safety of Elizabeth Drive.	
	SA asks what happened to the properties on Devonshire Road as Elizabeth Drive is risen. SK indicates two edges of the road shown in the presented maps. These will be drained into catchments.	
	PB asks if the funding has been allocated to a specific part of Elizabeth Drive.	

ltem	Description	Action
	SC says that the \$800 million only funds a portion of the eastern section of Elizabeth Drive.	
	DV is concerned about the works on Elizabeth Drive, as well as its current state. Currently, there is no safe access to Elizabeth Drive for her community. There are serious community safety concerns with the current re-routing; particularly in relation to the speed of right turn movements.	
	DV requests that Cuthel Road be reopened and for security cameras to be installed here allowing landowners who live there to use this road safely in the interim.	
	SC thanks DV. He says that there are more safety initiatives in the works, and he will give updates at the next meeting.	
	• Sydney Water – FO and MG	
	FO says that there are early works for construction of the Pitt Street pumping station.	
	FO will reach out to DV offline to discuss this and will meet with RM offline later this week as well.	FO to contact DV to discuss Pitt Street
	FO reminds the members that there is a visit to the advanced water recycling centre starting at at Lunden House in Luddenham. He requests that all attendees wear steel capped boots.	pumping station.
	• Sydney Metro – PG	
	PG updates that the Aerotropolis Metro Site is officially now named Bradfield Station.	
	The tunnel-boaring machines (TBMs) have all completed their journeys.	
	The PUDCIP will be released very soon, and this designs will be shared then. This release will be announced by Sydney Metro There will be community pop ups as well as a virtual consulation room for community members.	

ltem	Description	Action
	KR will assist with sharing this update with the CC members	
	when the time comes.	
	• WSA Co – DH	
	DH has no major updates to report.	
	He says that last week the Minister attended to announce the completion of the roof. The landside work is progressing very well.	
	DH understands that dust can be an issue at the worksite, and the company will continue to monitor this.	
	Aeronautical lighting has been installed. The lighting installation has so far been very successful.	
	• Liverpool City Council - MH	
	MH says that the Aerotropolis 7-11 plan has been endorsed by Council, and will come into effect on Wednesday 14 August. They are currently in the 14-day notification period.	
	They will collect funds at a rate of 4.6%.	
	MH notes that he has two items to update RM on, but since RM is a last-minute apology tonight, he will provide RM with these offline.	MH to respond to RM offline.
	• Penrith City Council- CG	
	GC updates that the contributions plan has been endorsed by Council.	
	Similar to Liverpool Council, PCC will also be changing to a 7- 11 plan within the next 5 years. As the precinct matures, there will be more ability to prepare a 7-11 plan.	
	CG acknowledges WW's recent letter to the Council, and says that the Council will be providing him with a response.	
	JH asks if the developers pay contributions when development is approved, during construction or after completion?	
	MH says that it depends. Different plans entail payment in different manners. At this stage, the money is not yet	

ltem	Description	Action
	<ul> <li>available for either of the Councils' plans. They have to wait for the DAs to start coming in, and therefore the funds to start coming in.</li> <li>JH asks who judges the estimate of the building value. Does this determine the contribution?</li> <li>MH says that the cost of the DA is the basis of the estimate.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Bradfield Development Corporation – VN</li> <li>VN explains that what was formerly called the Western Parkland City Authority is now the Bradfield Development Authority. They are still the main point of contact for queries relating to the Bradfield City Centre.</li> <li>VN updates that INSW will take responsibility for infrastructure coordination across the Aerotropolis.</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>VN welcomes the group to contact the Bradfield Development Authority with any concerns or questions during this period of change.</li><li>VN will send KR a few key media releases after this meeting.</li></ul>	KR to share information re the Bradfield Authority from VN with the community.
6	Community discussion	
	<ul><li>WW reports to that there are several community pop ups in the coming weeks to discuss changes to the planned flight routes.</li><li>PT refers to the Goldmate decision, which KR explains to the several community pop ups in the planned flight routes.</li></ul>	
	group. The group discusses the decision, and what it may mean for landowners in the Aerotropolis.	
7	Next meeting	

ltem	Description	Action
	ТВD	